

A multiplicity result for a semilinear Maxwell type equation

Antonio Azzollini

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Bari,
Via Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy
e-mail: azzollini@dm.uniba.it

Abstract

In this paper we look for solutions of the equation

$$\delta d\mathbf{A} = f'(\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle) \mathbf{A} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2k},$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a 1-differential form and $k \geq 2$. These solutions are critical points of a functional which is strongly degenerate because of the presence of the differential operator δd . We prove that, assuming a suitable convexity condition on the nonlinearity, the equation possesses infinitely many finite energy solutions.

Keywords Semilinear Maxwell equations; Strongly degenerate functional; Strong convexity

Introduction

It is well known that the Maxwell equations in the empty space, written by the differential forms language, are the Euler-Lagrange equations of the following action functional

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \langle d\eta, d\eta \rangle \sigma.$$

Here

$$\eta = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i dx^i + \varphi dt, \quad A_i, \varphi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

is the gauge potential 1-form in the space-time $\mathbb{R}^4$, $d\eta$ denotes the exterior derivative of η , σ is the volume form, and for any differential form γ

$$\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle := * (*\gamma \wedge \gamma)$$

where $*$ is the Hodge operator with respect to the Minkowski metric in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

According to the classical theory of the electrodynamics, when the electromagnetic field is generated by an assigned source j (e.g. a particle matter), then the action functional becomes

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\langle d\eta, d\eta \rangle - \langle j, \eta \rangle) \sigma.$$

When instead the source of the field is not assigned but it is an unknown of the problem, then there are two opposite mathematical models describing the interaction between the electromagnetic field and its source: the dualistic model and the unitarian model.

The dualistic model consists in coupling the Maxwell equation with another field equation describing the dynamics of the source that is represented by a travelling solitary wave (i.e. a solution of a field equation whose energy density travels as a localized packet). This approach has been analyzed in many papers and several existence and multiplicity results have been obtained (see e.g. [7], [14], [12] and [13]).

More recently, an unitarian field theory has been introduced by Benci and Fortunato [6], following an idea from Born and Infeld (see [11]). According to this theory (we refer to [6] and [8] for more details), electromagnetic field and matter field are both expression of only one physical entity, and the interaction between them is described by introducing a nonlinear Poincaré invariant perturbation in the Maxwell Lagrangian in the empty space.

Following this new unitarian theory, we perturb the Lagrangian in (1) adding a nonlinear term and obtaining the modified action functional

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(\langle d\eta, d\eta \rangle - f(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle) \right) \sigma$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The Euler-Lagrange equation is the following nonhomogeneous Maxwell equation

$$(2) \quad \delta d\eta = j(\eta)$$

where

$$(3) \quad j(\eta) = f'(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle) \eta$$

and $\delta = *d*$.

The 1-form j representing the source depends itself on the gauge 1-form η , so the equation (3) describes the dynamics of the electromagnetic field in presence of an auto-induction phenomenon.

From now on, we will refer to (3) as the semilinear Maxwell equation (SME).

In [3] the equation (3) has been considered in the magnetostatic case, namely when it has the form

$$(4) \quad \delta d\mathbf{A} = f'(\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle) \mathbf{A}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i dx^i, \quad A_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

and the metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the euclidean one. In that paper a solution $\mathbf{A}$, with the property $\delta \mathbf{A} = 0$, has been found. In [2], ignoring the physical origin of the problem, the equation (4) has been studied in the more general context of the k -forms on a n -Riemannian manifold M , and a multiplicity result has been proved when M is compact.

In the same spirit of that paper, here we consider the problem just from a mathematical point of view, looking for solution of

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \delta d\mathbf{A} = f'(\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle) \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i dx^i, \quad A_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

where we consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with the euclidean metric. In the sequel we often will use the notation $\mathbf{A}$ to denote also the vector field (A_1, A_2, A_3) .

Now, consider $n \geq 1$ even and denote by $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of the 1-forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support and by T the group of transformations on $\mathbb{R}^n$ so defined

$$(6) \quad g \in T \iff g \in O(n) \text{ and}$$

$$\exists (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n/2} \text{ in } O(2) \text{ s.t. } g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_{n/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $O(n)$ and $O(2)$ are respectively the orthogonal groups in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Moreover, denote by $(\cdot | \cdot)$ the scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and assume that

$$f_1) \quad f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad f(0) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 : f'(t) \geq 0$$

and for $2 < p < 2^* < q$, with $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$,

$f_2) \exists c_1 > 0$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(7) \quad f((x|x)) - f((y|y)) - 2f'((y|y))(y|x - y) \\ \geq c_1 \min((x - y|x - y)^{\frac{p}{2}}, (x - y|x - y)^{\frac{q}{2}}),$$

$f_3) \exists c_2 > 0$ s.t. $|f'(t)| \leq c_2 \min(t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}, t^{\frac{q}{2}-1}), \forall t \geq 0$,

$f_4) \exists R > 0$ and $\alpha > 2$ s.t. $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} f(t) \leq f'(t)t, \forall t \geq R$.

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 4$ be even and assume that f satisfies $(f_1 - f_4)$. Then there exist infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions of (5).*

Moreover these solutions have the following particular symmetry:

$$\mathbf{A}(x) = g^{-1}\mathbf{A}(gx), \quad \forall g \in T.$$

In the sequel we will assume $f_1, \dots, f_4$ holding.

Remark 2. Set $g(x) = f(x^2)$ and suppose $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Observe that by f_1 and f_3 we deduce $g''(0) = 0$, and then we find the so called “zero mass” case. This case has been dealt with by Berestycki & Lions [9, 10] and more recently by Pisani [17].

Remark 3. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we can define the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ on the vector space $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The assumption f_2 is a condition on the convexity of the functional

$$I_x(\xi) = f(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_x).$$

In fact, if we take $\xi, \psi \in \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ and set $\eta = \lambda\xi + (1 - \lambda)\psi$, by f_2 we have

$$(8) \quad \lambda(f(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_x) - f(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_x) - 2f'(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_x)\langle \eta, \xi - \eta \rangle_x) \\ \geq \lambda\bar{c} \min(\langle \xi - \eta, \xi - \eta \rangle_x^{\frac{p}{2}}, \langle \xi - \eta, \xi - \eta \rangle_x^{\frac{q}{2}}) > 0$$

and

$$(9) \quad (1 - \lambda)(f(\langle \psi, \psi \rangle_x) - f(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_x) - 2f'(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_x)\langle \eta, \psi - \eta \rangle_x) \\ \geq (1 - \lambda)\bar{c} \min(\langle \psi - \eta, \psi - \eta \rangle_x^{\frac{p}{2}}, \langle \psi - \eta, \psi - \eta \rangle_x^{\frac{q}{2}}) > 0.$$

Since

$$\lambda f'(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_x)\langle \eta, \xi - \eta \rangle_x + (1 - \lambda)f'(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_x)\langle \eta, \psi - \eta \rangle_x = 0,$$

adding (8) to (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \lambda f(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_x) + (1 - \lambda) f(\langle \psi, \psi \rangle_x) - f(\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_x) > 0$$

and then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the functional I_x is strictly convex.

The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a|x|^{\frac{p}{2}} + b & \text{if } |x| > 1 \\ c|x|^{\frac{q}{2}} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $2 < p < 2^* < q$ and $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times]0, +\infty[$ is any solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} a + b &= c \\ ap &= cq \end{cases}$$

is an example of function satisfying f_2 (see the Appendix for details).

The paper is organized as follows:

in section 1, following [6], we will use a new functional framework related to the Hodge decomposition of the vector field $\mathbf{A}$. We will be led to study the problem in the space

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int |\nabla u|^2 dx < +\infty \right\}$$

and in the Orlicz space $L^p + L^q$ ($2 < p < 6 < q$). We will recall some basic theorems, obtained in [6, 17], describing the relations between these spaces, and two results, proved respectively in [17] and [4], which will be necessary to get regularity and compactness.

In section 2, we will give a proof of Theorem 1, using a well known multiplicity abstract result (see [1, 5]). Assumption f_2 will play a key role in order to get regularity.

Finally, in the appendix we will show an example of function satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.

1 The functional setting

From now on, taken $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i dx^i$ a 1-form, by $\nabla \mathbf{A}$ we mean the Jacobian matrix of the field $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ and if $\mathbf{B}$ is another 1-form we will use the notation $(\nabla \mathbf{A} | \nabla \mathbf{B})$ to mean the product

$$(\nabla \mathbf{A} | \nabla \mathbf{B}) = \text{Tr} \left[(\nabla \mathbf{A}) (\nabla \mathbf{B})^T \right]$$

where $(\nabla \mathbf{B})^T$ is transposed of $\nabla \mathbf{B}$ and Tr denotes the trace. Moreover in the sequel we will write $(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{B})$ to mean the scalar product between $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ and we will use $|\mathbf{A}|^2$ and $|\nabla \mathbf{A}|^2$ in the place of $(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{A})$ and $(\nabla \mathbf{A}|\nabla \mathbf{A})$.

The functional of the action associated to (4) is

$$(11) \quad J(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle d\mathbf{A}, d\mathbf{A} \rangle dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|\mathbf{A}|^2) dx$$

where $dx = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$, being $\{dx^1, \dots, dx^n\}$ the canonical basis of $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The strongly degenerate nature of the functional J doesn't allow us to approach this problem in a standard way. In other words, the functional J doesn't present the geometry of the mountain pass in any space with finite codimension. This strongly indefiniteness of the functional depends on the fact that, in general,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle d\mathbf{A}, d\mathbf{A} \rangle dx \neq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{A}|^2 dx$$

since the equality holds only if $\delta \mathbf{A} = 0$. As a consequence, we don't have an a priori bound on the norm $\|\nabla \mathbf{A}\|_{L^2}$.

To overcome this difficulty, we look to the Hodge decomposition theorem of the differential forms in order to split

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{A} = u + dw = u + \nabla w$$

where u is a 1-form s.t.

$$(13) \quad \delta u = 0$$

and w is a 0-form, i.e. $w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Substituting the splitting (12) in (11), we obtain

$$(14) \quad J(u, w) := J(u + dw) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla w|^2) dx.$$

Now we introduce the spaces where the functional J is defined.

For $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-2} < q$, denote by $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), |\cdot|_p)$ and $(L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), |\cdot|_q)$ the Lebesgue spaces defined as the closure of $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm

$$|\xi|_h = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^h dx \right)^{\frac{1}{h}}, \quad h = p, q.$$

Consider the space

$$L^p + L^q := \{ \xi \mid \exists \xi_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \xi_2 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } \xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \}.$$

It is well known that $L^p + L^q$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$(15) \quad \|\xi\|_{L^p+L^q} = \inf \{ \|\xi_1\|_{L^p} + \|\xi_2\|_{L^q} : (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in L^p \times L^q, \xi_1 + \xi_2 = \xi \}$$

and its dual space is $L^{p'} \cap L^{q'}$, where $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$, endowed with the norm

$$\|\xi\|_{L^{p'} \cap L^{q'}} := \|\xi\|_{L^{p'}} + \|\xi\|_{L^{q'}}.$$

Denote by $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of the smooth functions with compact support, and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) &:= \overline{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot\|}, \\ \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) &:= \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{p,q}} \end{aligned}$$

where, for every $\xi \in \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\xi\|^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle d\xi, d\xi \rangle dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \delta\xi, \delta\xi \rangle dx$$

and for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}^{p,q}} := \|\nabla g\|_{L^p+L^q}$$

We recall some results on the space $L^p + L^q$

Theorem 4. 1. $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p + L^q$.

2. Let $\xi \in L^p + L^q$ and set

$$(16) \quad \Omega_\xi := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi(x)| > 1\}.$$

Then

$$(17) \quad \max \left(\|\xi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_\xi)} - 1, \frac{1}{1 + |\Omega_\xi|^{1/r}} \|\xi\|_{L^p(\Omega_\xi)} \right) \\ \leq \|\xi\|_{L^p+L^q} \leq \max \left(\|\xi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_\xi)}, \|\xi\|_{L^p(\Omega_\xi)} \right)$$

where $r = pq/(q-p)$.

3. For every $r \in [p, q] : L^r \hookrightarrow L^p + L^q$ continuously.

4. The embedding

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p + L^q$$

is continuous.

5. Set

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{F} := \{\xi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall g \in T, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \xi(gx) = g\xi(x)\}$$

where T is defined by (6), and define the space $\mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{F}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p + L^q$ compactly.

Proof. 1. It can be easily showed using the definition of the $L^p + L^q$ -norm and the density of $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2. See Lemma 1 in [6].

3. See Corollary 9 in [17].

4. It follows from 3 and the Sobolev continuous embedding

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}.$$

5. The proof follows combining a compactness theorem presented in [4] (see Theorem A.1 in the Appendix) and Lemma 14 in [6].

□

For all $\mathbf{A} \in L^p + L^q$, consider the functional F defined as follows

$$(21) \quad F(\mathbf{A}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|\mathbf{A}|^2) dx.$$

The following results have been proved in [17]

Theorem 5. *If f_3 holds, then the functional F is continuously differentiable, and its Frechet differential is the continuous and bounded map*

$$(22) \quad DF : \mathbf{A} \in L^p + L^q \mapsto 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|\mathbf{A}|^2)(\mathbf{A}|\cdot) dx \in (L^p + L^q)'.$$

Using the fact that $f(0) = 0$, from f_2 we deduce that for every $\xi \in L^p + L^q$

$$f(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \geq c_1 \min \left(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}}, \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)$$

pointwise almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. On the other hand, from f_1 and f_3 it follows that

$$f(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \leq c_2 \min \left(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}}, \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{q}{2}} \right),$$

pointwise almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

So, for every $\xi \in L^p + L^q$

$$(23) \quad c_1 \min \left(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}}, \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \leq f(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \leq c_2 \min \left(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}}, \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{q}{2}} \right),$$

and then we deduce that for any $\xi \in L^p + L^q$:

$$(24) \quad c_1 \left(\int_{\Omega_\xi} |\xi|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_\xi} |\xi|^q dx \right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi) \leq c_2 \left(\int_{\Omega_\xi} |\xi|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_\xi} |\xi|^q dx \right)$$

By (17) and (18),

$$(25) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), w \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) : J(u, w) < +\infty.$$

In order to have compactness for J , we are going to restrict the domain of the functional to a subspace $H \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. for all $(u, w) \in H$ we have that $u + \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}$.

It is easy to see that, if we set

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{F}' := \{w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \forall g \in T \text{ and for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n : w(gx) = w(x)\},$$

then, for $w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently smooth, we have

$$(27) \quad w \in \mathcal{F}' \implies \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}.$$

So, taking (13) into account, we set

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{V} := \{u \in \mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \delta u = 0\}$$

and

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{W} := D^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{F}',$$

and we take $H = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$.

Observe that H is nonempty. In fact, $\mathcal{W} \neq \emptyset$ and for any $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n/2}$ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{F}'$ the 1-form

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} a_i (x_{2i-1} dx_{2i} - x_{2i} dx_{2i-1})$$

belongs to $\mathcal{V}$.

Now, for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$, set

$$(30) \quad F_u : w \in \mathcal{W} \mapsto F(u + \nabla w) \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(31) \quad F_w : u \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto F(u + \nabla w) \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(32) \quad J_u : w \in \mathcal{W} \mapsto J(u, w) \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(33) \quad J_w : u \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto J(u, w) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark 6. Observe that, by Theorem 5, for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ the functionals J , J_u , J_w , F_u and F_w are continuously differentiable, and the respective Frechet differentials are:

$$(34) \quad DJ : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W})'$$

$$(35) \quad \begin{matrix} DJ_u \\ DF_u \end{matrix} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$$

$$(36) \quad \begin{matrix} DJ_w \\ DF_w \end{matrix} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'.$$

Moreover, if we set

$$(37) \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial w}(u, w) := DJ_u(w) \in \mathcal{W}'$$

$$(38) \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial u}(u, w) := DJ_w(u) \in \mathcal{V}',$$

by some computations we can see that, for every $u, \bar{u} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $w, \bar{w} \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$(39) \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial w}(u, w)[\bar{w}] = DJ(u, w)[0, \bar{w}] = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2)(u + \nabla w | \nabla \bar{w}) \, dx,$$

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial u}(u, w)[\bar{u}] &= DJ(u, w)[\bar{u}, 0] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla \bar{u}) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2)(u + \nabla w | \bar{u}) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (37) and (38), we can show the variational nature of the problem

Theorem 7. *If the couple $(u, w) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ solves the system*

$$(41) \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial w}(u, w) = 0$$

$$(42) \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial u}(u, w) = 0$$

then $\mathbf{A} = u + \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}$ is a finite energy, weak solution of (5).

Proof. Let $(u, w) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ be a solution of (41) and (42). Then, by (39) and (40), for any $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{W}$

$$(43) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \nabla \bar{w}) \, dx = 0,$$

$$(44) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla \bar{u}) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \bar{u}) \, dx = 0.$$

We want to show that

$$(45) \quad \mathbf{A} = u + \nabla w$$

is a weak solution of (5), namely for all $\varphi \in \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(46) \quad DJ(\mathbf{A})[\varphi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle d\mathbf{A}, d\varphi \rangle \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|\mathbf{A}|^2) (\mathbf{A} | \varphi) \, dx = 0.$$

Actually, it is enough to prove (46) just for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_r$, since $\mathcal{D}_r$ is a natural constraint for J . In fact observe that, if we denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the group of isometric transformations on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as follows

$$G \in \mathcal{T} \iff \exists g \in T \text{ s.t. } \forall \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{D} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n : G(\mathbf{A})(x) = g^{-1} \mathbf{A}(gx),$$

then $\mathcal{D}_r$ is the subspace of the fix points of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the action of $\mathcal{T}$ and

$$\forall G \in \mathcal{T}, \forall \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) : J(G(\mathbf{A})) = J(\mathbf{A}).$$

Then, by the Palais' Principle of symmetric criticality (see [16]), $\mathcal{D}_r$ is a natural constraint. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_r$. As in (12), we can split the function φ and obtain

$$(47) \quad \varphi = v + dh = v + \nabla h$$

where $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $h \in \mathcal{W}$. Writing (43) and (44) with respectively $\bar{u} = v$ and $\bar{w} = h$, we get

$$(48) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \nabla h) \, dx = 0,$$

$$(49) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla v) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | v) \, dx = 0,$$

so, subtracting (48) from (49), by (47) we have

$$(50) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla v) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \varphi) dx = 0.$$

Since $\delta v = 0$, then

$$(51) \quad \delta d\varphi = \delta d(v + dh) = \delta dv = -\Delta v,$$

where $-\Delta := d\delta + \delta d$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. From (50) and (51), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle du, d\varphi \rangle dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \varphi) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle u, \delta d\varphi \rangle dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \varphi) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u | \Delta v) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \varphi) dx \\ (52) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla v) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \varphi) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $w \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (25) the energy of $\mathbf{A}$ is finite. Finally, since $u, \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}$, also $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{F}$. $\square$

2 Proof of the main theorem

Set

$$(53) \quad \mathcal{C}_1 := \left\{ (u, w) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \left| \frac{\partial J}{\partial w}(u, w) = 0 \right. \right\}$$

$$(54) \quad \mathcal{C}_2 := \left\{ (u, w) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \left| \frac{\partial J}{\partial u}(u, w) = 0 \right. \right\}.$$

By Theorem 7, we are interested in finding the couples $(u, w) \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2$. Rendering (54) explicit we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (55) \quad (u, w) \in \mathcal{C}_2 \iff \forall \bar{u} \in \mathcal{V} : & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla \bar{u}) dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla w|^2) (u + \nabla w | \bar{u}) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem characterizes the set $\mathcal{C}_1$

Theorem 8. *There exists a compact map $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ s.t.*

$$(56) \quad \mathcal{C}_1 = \{ (u, \Phi(u)) \mid u \in \mathcal{V} \}.$$

Moreover the map Φ is characterized by the following property:

$$(57) \quad \text{for every } u \in \mathcal{V}, \Phi(u) \text{ is the unique function in } \mathcal{W} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$F_u(\Phi(u)) = \min_{w \in \mathcal{W}} F_u(w).$$

Before we prove the Theorem 8, we need the following

Lemma 9. *If*

$$(58) \quad \zeta_n \rightharpoonup \zeta \text{ in } L^p + L^q$$

and

$$(59) \quad F(\zeta_n) \rightarrow F(\zeta),$$

then

$$(60) \quad \zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

Proof. Let $(\zeta_n)_n$ be a sequence in $L^p + L^q$ and $\zeta \in L^p + L^q$ s.t. (58) and (59) hold. Using $\tilde{f}_2$ for $(\zeta_n)_x$ and $(\zeta)_x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $n \geq 1$, we have that the following inequality holds pointwise:

$$(61) \quad f(|\zeta_n|^2) - f(|\zeta|^2) - 2f'(|\zeta|^2)(\zeta|\zeta_n - \zeta|) \\ \geq c_1 \min(|\zeta_n - \zeta|^p, |\zeta_n - \zeta|^q).$$

Set

$$\Omega_n : \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\zeta_n - \zeta| > 1\}.$$

Integrating in inequality (61), by Theorem 5 we get

$$(62) \quad F(|\zeta_n|^2) - F(|\zeta|^2) - DF(\zeta)(\zeta_n - \zeta) \\ \geq c_1 \int_{\Omega_n} |\zeta_n - \zeta|^p dx + c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_n} |\zeta_n - \zeta|^q dx \\ = c_1 \left(\|\zeta_n - \zeta\|_{L^p(\Omega_n)}^p + \|\zeta_n - \zeta\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_n)}^q \right),$$

By (58), (59) and (62) we have that

$$\|\zeta_n - \zeta\|_{L^p(\Omega_n)}^p + \|\zeta_n - \zeta\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_n)}^q \longrightarrow 0,$$

and then we get (60) by (17). □

Proof of Theorem 8. Let $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and consider F_u defined as in (30). By Remark 6 and Remark 3, F_u is continuous and strictly convex. Then F_u is weakly lower semicontinuous.

Moreover F_u is also coercive. In fact, if $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and we set

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |u(x) + \nabla w(x)| > 1\},$$

then, by (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(w) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla w|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega} f(|u + \nabla w|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} f(|u + \nabla w|^2) dx \\ (63) \quad &\geq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega} |u + \nabla w|^q dx + c_1 \int_{\Omega} |u + \nabla w|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (63) and (17) we deduce that F_u is coercive and then, by Weierstrass theorem, F_u possesses a minimizer in $\mathcal{W}$.

So, let Φ be the map defined as follows

$$(64) \quad \Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \text{ s.t. } \forall u \in \mathcal{V} : \Phi(u) \text{ minimizes } F_u.$$

Since F_u is strictly convex, for all $u \in \mathcal{V}$ the minimizer of the functional F_u is unique, and then the map Φ is well defined and satisfies (57).

Now, before we prove the compactness of $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, first we show that the functional

$$(65) \quad u \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) dx$$

is weakly continuous.

Let

$$(66) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \mathcal{V},$$

then, by 5 of Theorem 4,

$$(67) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

Since

$$0 \leq F(u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)) = F_{u_n}(\Phi(u_n)) \leq F_{u_n}(0) = F(u_n),$$

by (67) and the continuity of F , the sequence $\{F(u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n))\}$ is bounded. Since F is coercive, then

$$(68) \quad u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n) \text{ is bounded in } L^p + L^q,$$

so, by (67),

$$(69) \quad \nabla \Phi(u_n) \text{ is bounded in } L^p + L^q.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2) dx \\ \beta_n &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) dx \\ \gamma_n &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u_n + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (64), certainly we have

$$(70) \quad \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq \gamma_n.$$

Moreover, by Lagrange theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(f(|u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2) - f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2) \right) dx \\ (71) \quad &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|\theta_n|^2) (\theta_n |u_n - u|) dx \end{aligned}$$

where θ_n is a suitable convex combination of $u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)$ and $u + \nabla \Phi(u_n)$. Since $\{u_n\}$ and $\{\nabla \Phi(u_n)\}$ are bounded in $L^p + L^q$, certainly also $\{\theta_n\}$ is bounded in $L^p + L^q$. Then, by Theorem 5 and (67), from (71) we deduce that

$$(72) \quad \alpha_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

Analogously we also have that

$$(73) \quad \gamma_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

so, by (70), (72) and (73), we get

$$\beta_n \longrightarrow 0$$

and then (65) is weakly continuous.

Now, we prove the compactness of Φ . Consider again $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ s.t. (66) holds. By (69), there exists $w \in \mathcal{W}$ s.t. (up to a subsequence)

$$(74) \quad \nabla \Phi(u_n) \rightharpoonup \nabla w \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

From (67) and (74) we deduce that

$$(75) \quad u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n) \rightharpoonup u + \nabla w \text{ in } L^p + L^q$$

so, using the weak continuity of (65) and the weak lower semicontinuity of F we have

$$(76) \quad \begin{aligned} F_u(\Phi(u)) &= F(u + \nabla \Phi(u)) = \lim_n F(u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)) \\ &\geq F(u + \nabla w) = F_u(w). \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of the minimizer of F_u , from (76) we deduce that $w = \Phi(u)$, so, by (75), we have

$$(77) \quad u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n) \rightharpoonup u + \nabla \Phi(u) \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

But using the weak continuity of (65), by (66) we also have

$$(78) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2) dx \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) dx$$

so, by Lemma 9, from (77) and (78) we deduce that

$$(79) \quad u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n) \longrightarrow u + \nabla \Phi(u) \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

Now, comparing (79) with (67), we deduce that

$$\Phi(u_n) \longrightarrow \Phi(u) \text{ in } \mathcal{W}$$

and then Φ is compact.

Finally, we prove (56). Observe that, since $\frac{\partial J}{\partial w}(u, w) = DF_u(w)$, then

$$(80) \quad (u, w) \in \mathcal{C}_1 \iff DF_u(w) = 0.$$

But since F_u is convex, its critical points are minimizers, and then

$$(81) \quad DF_u(w) = 0 \iff w = \Phi(u),$$

so we have (56) by (80) and (81). □

Consider the functional $\widehat{J}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(82) \quad \widehat{J}(u) := J(u, \Phi(u)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} F(u + \nabla \Phi(u)).$$

The following regularity result holds

Theorem 10. *The functional $\widehat{J}$ is continuously differentiable and its Frechet differential $D\widehat{J} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ has this expression*

$$(83) \quad D\widehat{J}(u)[\bar{u}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla \bar{u}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) (u + \nabla \Phi(u) | \bar{u}) dx.$$

Proof. Set

$$(84) \quad \widehat{F} : u \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto F(u + \nabla \Phi(u)).$$

We will prove that $\widehat{F} \in C^1$ so that, clearly, also $\widehat{J} \in C^1$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{V}$. We claim that for all $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{V} - \{0\}$ the functional $\widehat{F}$ is derivable at u in the direction $\bar{u}$, and the directional derivative (i.e. the Gâteaux derivative $D_G \widehat{F}$) is

$$(85) \quad D_G \widehat{F}(u)[\bar{u}] = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) (u + \nabla \Phi(u) | \bar{u}) dx.$$

In fact, let $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &:= F(u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})) - F(u + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})), \\ \beta(t) &:= F(u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})) - F(u + \nabla \Phi(u)), \\ \gamma(t) &:= F(u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u)) - F(u + \nabla \Phi(u)). \end{aligned}$$

By (57) we know that

$$F(u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})) \leq F(u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u))$$

and

$$F(u + \nabla \Phi(u)) \leq F(u + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})),$$

and then, certainly, for every $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$

$$(86) \quad \alpha(t) \leq \beta(t) \leq \gamma(t).$$

Now, for every $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(t) &= \frac{\alpha(t)}{t}, \\ \tilde{\beta}(t) &= \frac{\beta(t)}{t}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}(t) &= \frac{\gamma(t)}{t} \end{aligned}$$

and observe that (85) means that

$$(87) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\beta}(t) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2)(u + \nabla \Phi(u)|\bar{u}) \, dx.$$

From (86) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(t) &\leq \tilde{\beta}(t) \leq \tilde{\gamma}(t) & \text{if } t > 0, \\ \tilde{\gamma}(t) &\leq \tilde{\beta}(t) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(t) & \text{if } t < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(88) \quad \min(\tilde{\alpha}(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)) \leq \tilde{\beta}(t) \leq \max(\tilde{\alpha}(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)).$$

Now, by Lagrange theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(t) &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(f(|u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})|^2) - f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})|^2) \right) dx}{t} \\ &= \frac{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|\theta_t|^2)(\theta_t|t\bar{u}) \, dx}{t} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|\theta_t|^2)(\theta_t|\bar{u}) \, dx \\ (89) \quad &= DF(\theta_t)[\bar{u}] \end{aligned}$$

where θ_t is a suitable convex combination of $u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})$ and $u + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u})$.

Since Φ is continuous, we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u + t\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u}) = u + \nabla \Phi(u) \text{ in } L^p + L^q$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u + \nabla \Phi(u + t\bar{u}) = u + \nabla \Phi(u) \text{ in } L^p + L^q,$$

and then

$$(90) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta_t = u + \nabla \Phi(u) \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

By continuity, from (89) and (90) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (91) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\alpha}(t) &= DF(u + \nabla \Phi(u))[\bar{u}] \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2)(u + \nabla \Phi(u)|\bar{u}) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments, we can see that

$$(92) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\gamma}(t) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2)(u + \nabla \Phi(u)|\bar{u}) dx,$$

so, by (88), (91) and (92) we get (87), i.e. and the existence of the directional derivative.

Now observe that from (85) we have

$$(93) \quad D_G \widehat{F}(u) \in \mathcal{V}', \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{V}$$

and the map

$$(94) \quad D\widehat{F}_G : u \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2)(u + \nabla \Phi(u)|\cdot) dx \in \mathcal{V}'$$

is continuous by Theorem 5 and the continuity of Φ . Then $\widehat{F}$ is Frechet differentiable, and, for all $u, \bar{u} \in \mathcal{V}$

$$(95) \quad D\widehat{F}(u)[\bar{u}] = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2)(u + \nabla \Phi(u)|\bar{u}) dx.$$

From (95) we have (83). □

Theorem 11. *If $u \in \mathcal{V}$ is a nontrivial critical point of $\widehat{J}$, then $\mathbf{A} = u + \nabla \Phi(u) \in \mathcal{F}$ is a finite energy, nontrivial weak solution of (5).*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{V}$ be a critical point of $\widehat{J}$. By (83) we have that

$$(96) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u | \nabla \bar{u}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2)(u + \nabla \Phi(u)|\bar{u}) dx = 0$$

so, by (55), the couple $(u, \Phi(u)) \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Since by Theorem 8 we also have that $(u, \Phi(u)) \in \mathcal{C}_1$, then, by Theorem 7, $\mathbf{A} = u + \nabla \Phi(u)$ is a finite energy, weak solution.

Moreover, if $u \neq 0$, then

$$(97) \quad u + \nabla \Phi(u) \neq 0.$$

In fact, if

$$(98) \quad u = -\nabla \Phi(u),$$

then

$$-\Delta \Phi(u) = \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

and this should imply

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \Phi(u)|^2 dx = 0,$$

that is

$$(99) \quad \nabla \Phi(u) = 0.$$

But (98) and (99) contradict the fact that $u \neq 0$, so (97) holds. $\square$

By Theorem 11 we are reduced to find the critical points of $\widehat{J}$, so we are going to study the geometry and the compactness properties of the functional in order to apply the symmetrical mountain pass theorem (see [1, 5]).

Theorem 12. *$\widehat{J}$ satisfies the following Palais-Smale (P-S) condition:
if $\{u_n\} \in \mathcal{V}$ is a sequence s.t. for $M \geq 0$*

$$(100) \quad \widehat{J}(u_n) \leq M, \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

and

$$(101) \quad D\widehat{J}(u_n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

then $\{u_n\} \in \mathcal{V}$ is precompact.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \in \mathcal{V}$ be a sequence s.t. (100) and (101) hold. Since Φ is compact and the embedding $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow L^p + L^q$ is compact, we have that the map (94) is compact, so, by standard arguments we are reduced to prove that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

Rendering (100) explicit, we have

$$(102) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2) dx \leq M.$$

Moreover, from (101) we deduce that

$$D\widehat{J}(u_n)[u_n/\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}}] \longrightarrow 0$$

that is there exists $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ s.t.

$$(103) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|^2)(u_n + \nabla \Phi(u_n)|u_n) dx = \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Now, by (57), certainly we have that for every $w \in \mathcal{W}$

$$0 = DF_{u_n}(\Phi(u_n))[w] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|u_n + \nabla\Phi(u_n)|^2)(u_n + \nabla\Phi(u_n)|\nabla w) dx,$$

so (103) can be written as follows

$$(104) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f'(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 dx = \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}},$$

where we have set $v_n = u_n + \nabla\Phi(u_n)$. Now, multiplying (102) by α and subtracting (104) we get

$$(105) \quad \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [f'(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}f(|v_n|^2)] dx \leq M - \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Using f_4 , from (105) we deduce that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. $\square$

Theorem 13. *There exist $\rho > 0$ and $C > 0$ s.t.*

$$\widehat{J}(u) > C, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{V} \cap S_\rho,$$

where $S_\rho := \{u \in \mathcal{D} \mid \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho\}$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and consider Ω_u defined as in (16). Since $p < 2^* < q$ we have that

$$(106) \quad |u(x)|^p \leq |u(x)|^{2^*}, \quad \text{if } x \in \Omega_u$$

$$(107) \quad |u(x)|^q \leq |u(x)|^{2^*}, \quad \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_u,$$

so, by (106) and (107), using (24), (57) and the continuous embedding $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}) \hookrightarrow (L^{2^*}, |\cdot|_{2^*})$, for a suitable $k > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla\Phi(u)|^2) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u|^2) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{\Omega_u} |u|^p dx - \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_u} |u|^q dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{\Omega_u} |u|^{2^*} dx - \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega_u} |u|^{2^*} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 - \frac{c_2}{2} |u|_{2^*}^{2^*} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 - k \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\widehat{J}(u) > C$ for $u \in S_\rho$ with ρ small enough. $\square$

Now, before we prove that also the second geometrical assumption of the symmetrical mountain pass theorem is satisfied, we need a preliminary result. For every $\gamma > 1$ and $u \in \mathcal{V}$ set

$$(108) \quad \tilde{F}_u : w \in \mathcal{W} \mapsto \|u + \nabla w\|_{L^p + L^q}^\gamma.$$

We have the following

Lemma 14. *For every $u \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists a unique $\Phi_\gamma(u) \in \mathcal{W}$ s.t.*

$$(109) \quad \tilde{F}_u(\Phi_\gamma(u)) = \min_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \tilde{F}_u(w).$$

Moreover, for every $V \subset \mathcal{V}$ s.t. $\dim V < +\infty$ we have

$$(110) \quad \exists \tilde{C}_\gamma(V) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^\gamma \geq \tilde{C}_\gamma \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^\gamma$$

uniformly for $u \in V$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{F}_u$ is strictly convex, continuous and coercive on $\mathcal{W}$, by Weierstrass theorem there exists a unique minimizer $\Phi_\gamma(u)$.

Actually the minimizing map

$$\Phi_\gamma : u \rightarrow \Phi_\gamma(u)$$

is compact from $\mathcal{V}$ into $\mathcal{W}$.

In fact, consider

$$(111) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \mathcal{V}.$$

Since $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow L^p + L^q$ compactly, certainly

$$(112) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

Moreover, by the definition of Φ_γ ,

$$0 \leq \|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p + L^q}^\gamma \leq \|u_n\|_{L^p + L^q}^\gamma$$

so,

$$(113) \quad u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n) \text{ is bounded in } L^p + L^q.$$

From (112) and (113) we deduce that $\{\Phi_\gamma(u_n)\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{W}$, so there exists $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ s.t. (up to a subsequence)

$$(114) \quad \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n) \rightharpoonup \nabla \bar{w} \text{ in } L^p + L^q.$$

Now we prove that

1. $\lim_n \|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p+L^q} = \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q};$
2. $\nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n) \rightharpoonup \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)$ in $L^p + L^q$.

Observe that, by the definition of Φ_γ and the triangular inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma &\leq \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \\ &\leq (\|u - u_n\|_{L^p+L^q} + \|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p+L^q})^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

and then, by (112)

$$(115) \quad \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \leq \liminf_n \|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma.$$

On the other hand, by definition of Φ_γ

$$\|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \leq \|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma$$

and then, by (112)

$$(116) \quad \limsup_n \|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \leq \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma.$$

The claim 1 follows from (115) and (116).

Since $\|\cdot\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma$ is weakly lower semicontinuous, from (112), (114) and the claim 1 we deduce

$$(117) \quad \|u + \nabla \bar{w}\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \leq \liminf_n \|u_n + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma = \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma.$$

By the uniqueness of the minimizer of $\tilde{F}_u$, the inequality (117) implies that $\bar{w} = \Phi_\gamma(u)$ and then the claim 2 is a consequence of (114).

By a well known theorem, the claims 1 and 2 and (112) imply that

$$\nabla \Phi_\gamma(u_n) \rightarrow \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u) \text{ in } L^p + L^q$$

and then Φ_γ is compact.

Now, let $V \subset \mathcal{V}$ s.t. $\dim V < +\infty$. By Weierstrass theorem

$$(118) \quad \exists \tilde{C}_\gamma := \min_{\substack{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}=1 \\ u \in V}} \|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \geq 0.$$

Actually, $\tilde{C}_\gamma > 0$. In fact, if $\tilde{C}_\gamma = 0$, then there should exist $\bar{u} \in V$ s.t. $\|\bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$ and $\bar{u} + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(\bar{u}) = 0$, but it is not possible as we have already seen in the proof of Theorem 11. Now, if we consider $u \in V - \{0\}$ and set $\tilde{u} = u/\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}$, since $\|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$, we have that

$$(119) \quad \frac{\|u + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(u)\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^\gamma} = \left\| \tilde{u} + \nabla \left(\frac{\Phi_\gamma(u)}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}} \right) \right\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \geq \|\tilde{u} + \nabla \Phi_\gamma(\tilde{u})\|_{L^p+L^q}^\gamma \geq \tilde{C}_\gamma.$$

So (110) follows from (119). $\square$

Theorem 15. *For all $V \subset \mathcal{V}$ s.t. $\dim V < +\infty : \sup_{u \in V} \widehat{J}(u) < +\infty$.*

Proof. Let $V \subset \mathcal{V}$ s.t. $\dim V < +\infty$. Consider $u \in V$ and set

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |(u + \nabla \Phi(u))(x)| > 1\}.$$

Since inequality (17) implies that

$$\|u + \nabla \Phi(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^p \leq |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p$$

or

$$\|u + \nabla \Phi(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^q \leq |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega)}^q,$$

certainly

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left(\|u + \nabla \Phi(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^p, \|u + \nabla \Phi(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^q \right) \\ (120) \quad & \leq \max \left(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p, |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega)}^q \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (120) and Lemma 14

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) dx & \geq c_1 \int_{\Omega} |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^p dx + c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega} |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^q dx \\ & = c_1 |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + c_1 |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega)}^q \\ & \geq c_1 \max \left(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p, |u + \nabla \Phi(u)|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega)}^q \right) \\ & \geq c_1 \min \left(\|u + \nabla \Phi(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^p, \|u + \nabla \Phi(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^q \right) \\ & \geq c_1 \min \left(\|u + \nabla \Phi_p(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^p, \|u + \nabla \Phi_q(u)\|_{L^p + L^q}^q \right) \\ & \geq c_1 \min \left(\widetilde{C}_p \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^p, \widetilde{C}_q \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^q \right) \\ & \geq c_1 \min(\widetilde{C}_p, \widetilde{C}_q) \min(\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^p, \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^q), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}(u) & = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|u + \nabla \Phi(u)|^2) dx \\ (121) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 - c_1 \min(\widetilde{C}_p, \widetilde{C}_q) \min(\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^p, \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^q). \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 < p < q$, we get our conclusion from (121). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\widehat{J}$ is C^1 and even, by Theorem 12, Theorem 13, Theorem 15 and the symmetrical version of the mountain pass theorem (see [1, 5]) certainly it possesses infinitely many critical points. Then the conclusion is a consequence of Theorem 11. $\square$

Acknowledgement

The author is very grateful to Donato Fortunato and Alessio Pomponio for their precious help and support.

Appendix

As we have seen, in order to have infinitely many solutions for the problem (5) we need some assumptions on the growth and on the convexity of the nonlinearity. Here we want to show an example of function satisfying those assumptions.

Consider the function $f : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$(122) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} ax^p + b & \text{if } x > 1 \\ cx^q & \text{if } x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $2 < p < 2^* < q$ and the set of three numbers $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times]0, +\infty[$ is any solution of the system

$$(123) \quad \begin{cases} a + b &= c \\ ap &= cq \end{cases}.$$

Lemma A.1. *There exist $\delta > 0$ and $K_1 > 0$ s.t. $\forall (x, y) \in]1, 1+\delta[\times]1-\delta, 1[$*

$$(124) \quad f(x) - f(y) - (f'(y)|x - y) \geq K_1|x - y|^q.$$

Proof. Consider the function $h :]1, +\infty[\times]0, 1]$ s.t.

$$(125) \quad h(x, y) = \frac{f(x) - f(y) - (f'(y)|x - y)}{|x - y|^q}.$$

that is

$$h(x, y) = \frac{ax^p + b + (q-1)cy^q - qcxy^{q-1}}{|x - y|^q}.$$

Dividing numerator and denominator by y^q and setting $z = x/y$, we get the new function

$$(126) \quad \tilde{h}(z, y) = \frac{az^py^{p-q} + by^{-q} + (q-1)c - qczy}{|z - 1|^q}$$

defined in the domain $\{(z, y) \in]1, +\infty[\times]0, 1] \mid y > 1/z\}$.

We claim that

$$\forall z > 1 : \quad \tilde{h}(z, 1) = \min_{y > 1/z} \tilde{h}(z, \cdot).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial y}(z, y) &= \frac{a(p-q)z^p y^{p-q-1} - bq y^{-q-1}}{|z-1|^q} \\
 (127) \quad &= \frac{a(p-q)z^p y^p - bq}{y^{q+1}|z-1|^q} = \frac{g(zy)}{y^{q+1}|z-1|^q}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $g(t) = a(p-q)t^p - bq$.

By (123) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(1) &= 0 \\
 g'(t) &< 0 \quad \text{if } t > 1
 \end{aligned}$$

so $g(zy) < 0$ because $zy > 1$. By (127) we can conclude that the function $\tilde{h}(z, \cdot)$ is decreasing in $] \frac{1}{z}, 1]$ and then

$$(128) \quad \forall z > 1 : \quad \tilde{h}(z, y) \geq \tilde{h}(z, 1).$$

Now, by (128) and using twice De l'Hôpital's rule, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (1^+, 1^-)} h(x, y) &= \lim_{(z,y) \rightarrow (1^+, 1^-)} \tilde{h}(z, y) \\
 &\geq \lim_{(z,y) \rightarrow (1^+, 1^-)} \tilde{h}(z, 1) \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{az^p + b + (q-1)c - qcz}{(z-1)^q} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{ap(p-1)z^{p-2}}{q(q-1)(z-1)^{q-2}} = +\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (124) is a consequence of the previous limit. $\square$

Theorem A.2. *There exists $K_2 > 0$ s.t. for every nonnegative numbers x, y*

$$(129) \quad f(x) - f(y) - f'(y)(x-y) \geq K_2 \min(|x-y|^p, |x-y|^q).$$

Proof. We distinguish the following three cases

1. $0 \leq y \leq 1 < x$ or $0 \leq x \leq 1 < y$;
2. $1 < x, y$;
3. $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$.

1. If $0 \leq y \leq 1 < x$, then we consider these three possibilities

- $(x, y) \in]1, 1 + \delta[\times]1 - \delta, 1[$;
- $(x, y) \in]1, 1 + \delta] \times [0, 1 - \delta]$
- $(x, y) \in [1 + \delta, +\infty[\times [0, 1]$,

where δ is the same as in Lemma A.1.

By Lemma A.1, certainly (129) holds in $]1, 1 + \delta[\times]1 - \delta, 1[$. Since the function h defined in (125) is continuous in $[1, 1 + \delta] \times [0, 1 - \delta]$, by Weierstrass' theorem

$$\exists \min\{h(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in [1, 1 + \delta] \times [0, 1 - \delta]\}$$

and then the inequality (129) holds also in $]1, 1 + \delta] \times [0, 1 - \delta]$.

Finally, suppose $(x, y) \in [1 + \delta, +\infty[\times [0, 1]$. Since for every $x \in [1 + \delta, +\infty[$

$$\min_{y \in [0, 1]} y^{q-1}(c(q-1)y - cqx) = c(q-1) - cqx,$$

then, by (123),

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f(y) - f'(y)(x - y) &= ax^p + b \\ &\quad + y^{q-1}(c(q-1)y - cqx) \\ (130) \quad &\geq ax^p + b + c(q-1) - cqx \\ &= ax^p - ap(x-1) - a. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$(131) \quad C_1 := \inf_{x \geq 1+\delta} \frac{ax^p - ap(x-1) - a}{x^p} > 0$$

so, by (130) and (131),

$$f(x) - f(y) - f'(y)(x - y) \geq C_1 x^p \geq C_1 (x - y)^p$$

and then the inequality (129) holds also in $[1 + \delta, +\infty[\times [0, 1]$.

We can use similar arguments for the case $0 \leq x \leq 1 < y$.

2. Suppose $1 < x, y$. We have that

$$(132) \quad f(x) - f(y) - f'(y)(x - y) = a(x^p - y^p - py^{p-1}(x - y)).$$

In [15] (see the proof of theorem 4, Chapter VIII) the following inequality has been proved: for all $r > 2$ there exists a positive constant $C_2(r)$ s.t. for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(133) \quad |u + 1|^r \geq 1 + ru + C_2(r)|u|^r.$$

If we set $r = p$ and replace u by $\frac{x-y}{y}$, then by some calculus we get

$$(134) \quad x^p \geq y^p + py^{p-1}(x-y) + C_2(p)|x-y|^p.$$

Inequality (129) follows from (132) and (134).

3. Suppose $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$. Then

$$(135) \quad f(x) - f(y) - f'(y)(x-y) = c(x^q - y^q - qy^{q-1}(x-y))$$

so we get again (129) using (133) as before.

□

Theorem A.3. *Let $\hat{f}$ be the even extension of f , i.e.*

$$(136) \quad \hat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ f(-x) & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then there exists $K_3 > 0$ s.t. for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$(137) \quad \hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}'(y)(x-y) \geq K_3 \min(|x-y|^p, |x-y|^q).$$

Proof. We distinguish some cases

- $x, y \leq 0$.

Since $\hat{f}$ is even, certainly $\hat{f}'$ is odd and then, by (129),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}'(y)(x-y) &= \hat{f}(-x) - \hat{f}(-y) - \hat{f}'(-y)(-x - (-y)) \\ &= f(-x) - f(-y) - f'(-y)(-x - (-y)) \\ &\geq K_2 \min(|-x - (-y)|^p, |-x - (-y)|^q) \\ &= K_2 \min(|x-y|^p, |x-y|^q). \end{aligned}$$

- $x \leq 0$ and $y \geq 0$.

We have that

$$(138) \quad \hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}'(y)(x-y) = \hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}'(y)x - \hat{f}(y) + \hat{f}'(y)y.$$

Since $\hat{f}'(y) \geq 0$, the property f_3 (that can be easily proved) implies that

$$(139) \quad \hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}'(y)x \geq \hat{f}(x) \geq c_1 \min(|x|^p, |x|^q),$$

and, on the other hand, by (129)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (140) \quad -\hat{f}(y) + \hat{f}'(y)y &= f(0) - f(y) - f'(y)(0 - y) \\
 &\geq K_2 \min(|y|^p, |y|^q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (138), (139) and (140) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}'(y)(x - y) &\geq C_3 (\min(|x|^p, |x|^q) + \min(|y|^p, |y|^q)) \\
 &\geq C_4 \min(|x|^p + |y|^p, |x|^q + |y|^q) \\
 &\geq C_5 \min((|x| + |y|)^p, (|x| + |y|)^q) \\
 &= C_5 \min(|x - y|^p, |x - y|^q).
 \end{aligned}$$

where C_3 , C_4 and C_5 are positive constants.

- $x \geq 0$ and $y \leq 0$.

The inequality (137) can be proved by similar arguments as before.

- $x, y \geq 0$.

The inequality (137) follows directly from (129).

□

Finally, define $\bar{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the radial extension of f , namely

$$(141) \quad \bar{f}(x) = f(|x|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem A. 4. *The function $\bar{f}$ defined by (141) and (122) satisfies the inequality*

$$(142) \quad \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x - y|) = c_1 \min(|x - y|^p, |x - y|^q)$$

for some positive constant c_1 which doesn't depend on $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. It is very easy to verify that $\bar{f}$ satisfies the inequality

$$(143) \quad f(x) \geq c_1 \min(|x|^p, |x|^q)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If $y = 0$, then (142) follows trivially from (143).

If $y \neq 0$, observe that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (144) \quad \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x - y|) &= f(|x|) - f(|y|) - \frac{f'(|y|)}{|y|}(x|y|) + f'(|y|)|y|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the following three cases

- $x = ty, t \geq 0$;
- $x = ty, t < 0$;
- $x \neq ty, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $x = ty$ for $t \geq 0$, then $(x|y) = |x||y|$ and $|x - y| = ||x| - |y||$ so, by (144) and (129),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x - y) &= f(|x|) - f(|y|) - f'(|y|)(|x| - |y|) \\ &\geq K_2 \min(|x| - |y|^p, |x| - |y|^q) \\ &= K_2 \min(|x - y|^p, |x - y|^q). \end{aligned}$$

If $x = ty$ for $t < 0$, then $(x|y) = -|x||y|$ and $|x - y| = |x| + |y|$ so, by (144) and (137),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x - y) &= \hat{f}(|x|) - \hat{f}(-|y|) - \hat{f}'(-|y|)(|x| - (-|y|)) \\ &\geq K_3 \min(|x| + |y|^p, |x| + |y|^q) \\ &= K_3 \min(|x - y|^p, |x - y|^q). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $x \notin \{ty|t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then $x = x_1 + x_2$ where $x_1 \in \{ty|t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $(x_2|y) = 0$. Since $x_1 \parallel y$, from the previous cases we have

$$(145) \quad \bar{f}(x_1) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x_1 - y) \geq C_6 \min(|x_1 - y|^p, |x_1 - y|^q)$$

where $C_6 = \min(K_2, K_3)$. Moreover, observe that for all $a, b \geq 0$ the following inequality holds

$$(146) \quad f(\sqrt{a+b}) \geq f(\sqrt{a}) + f(\sqrt{b}),$$

so, by (146), (145) and property f_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x - y) &= f(\sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2}) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x_1 - y) \\ &\geq f(|x_1|) + f(|x_2|) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x_1 - y) \\ &= \bar{f}(x_1) - \bar{f}(y) - (\bar{f}'(y)|x_1 - y) + \bar{f}(x_2) \\ &\geq C_6 \min(|x_1 - y|^p, |x_1 - y|^q) + c_1 \min(|x_2|^p, |x_2|^q) \\ &\geq C_7 \min((|x_1 - y|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (|x_2|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}, (|x_1 - y|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} + (|x_2|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}) \\ &\geq C_8 \min((|x_1 - y|^2 + |x_2|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}, (|x_1 - y|^2 + |x_2|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}) \\ &= C_8 \min(|x - y|^p, |x - y|^q) \end{aligned}$$

where C_7 and C_8 are suitable positive constants.

□

Now, consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a|x|^{\frac{p}{2}} + b & \text{if } |x| > 1 \\ c|x|^{\frac{q}{2}} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $2 < p < 2^* < q$ and $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times]0, +\infty[$ is any solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} a + b &= c \\ ap &= cq \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to verify that f satisfies f_1 , f_3 and f_4 .
Moreover, applying Theorem A.4 to the function

$$g : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto f((\xi|\xi)) \in \mathbb{R},$$

we verify that f satisfies also f_2 .

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14**, 1973, 349–481.
- [2] A. Azzollini, *A multiplicity result for the problem $\delta d\xi = f'(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)\xi$* , preprint.
- [3] A. Azzollini, V. Benci, T. D’Aprile, D. Fortunato, *Existence of static solutions of the semilinear Maxwell equations*, Ricerche di Matematica, to appear.
- [4] A. Azzollini, P. Pomponio, *Compactness results and applications to some “zero mass” elliptic problems*, preprint.
- [5] P. Bartolo, V. Benci and D. Fortunato, *Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with “strong” resonance at infinity*, J. Nonlinear Analysis T.M.A., **7**, 1983, 981–1012
- [6] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, *Towards a unified field theory for classical electrodynamics*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **173** (2004), 379–414.
- [7] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, *Solitary waves of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon field equation coupled with the Maxwell equations*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 409–420.

- [8] V. Benci and D. Fortunato, *A strongly degenerate elliptic equation arising from the semilinear Maxwell equations*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris Ser I, **339**, 2004, 839–842,
- [9] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear Scalar Field Equations, I - Existence of a Ground State*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **82** (4) (1983), 313–345.
- [10] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear Scalar Field Equations, II - Existence of infinitely many solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **82** (4) (1983), 347–375.
- [11] M. Born, L. Infeld, *Foundations of the new field theory*, Proc. R. Soc. Lon. A, **144** (1934), 425–451.
- [12] G.M. Coclite, *A multiplicity result for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Comm. Applied Anal., **7** (2-3) (2003), 417–423.
- [13] G.M. Coclite and V. Georgiev, *Solitary Waves for Maxwell Schrödinger Equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **94** (2004), 1–31 (electronic).
- [14] T. D’Aprile and D. Mugnai, *Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell and Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Proc. of Royal Soc. of Edinburgh, **134** (5) (2004), 893–906.
- [15] L.V. Kantorovich and G.P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces*, Pergamon Press Ltd., New York, (1964).
- [16] R.S. Palais, *The principle of symmetric criticality*, Comm. Math. Phys., **69** (1979), 19–30.
- [17] L. Pisani, *Remarks on the sum of Lebesgue spaces*, (preprint).